

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/895,525	06/28/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0843	8191
7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
Christopher W. Kennerly			CHEN, TE Y	
Baker Botts L.L.P. Suite 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001 Ross Avenue			2161	
Dallas, TX 75201			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- 10 42 51 -	A-nilaant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 4-4 0	09/895,525	TENORIO, MANOEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan Y Chen	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2161

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/24/2004.

Claims 1-37 are pending for examination, claims 12 and 36 have been amended.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 12, 23 and 34-37, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9, 17, and 25 of U.S. co-pending application 09/895,654. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claims 1, 12, 23 and 34-37 of the present application merely repeat the features of claims 1, 9, 17, and 25 of US co-pending application 09/895,654 with a few detail limitations. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skilled in

Art Unit: 2161

the art at the time the invention was made to add a few limitations into a claim for the purpose to render a clear intended use of the claimed data association module as recited by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tenorio et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,708,161).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Art Unit: 2161

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claims 1, 12, 23, and 34-37, Tenorio et al. (hereinafter referred as Tenorio) discloses a computer-implemented system with method and executable program products for associating target data with a product classification schema [e.g., Fig(s). 1-5 and associated texts] as claimed by applicant, comprising the following functions:

- a) access a first product classification schema, the first schema comprising a taxonomy that comprising a hierarchy of classes into which product may be categorized, the first schema further comprising ontologies associated with one or more of the classes, each ontology comprising one or more product attributes [e.g., the GCD 42 of Fig. 2 and associated texts; col. 5, lines 6-38];
- b) access target data to be associated with the first schema, the target data organized according the a second product classification schema [e.g., col. 5, lines 62 col. 6, line 26];
- c) determine one or more classes of the first schema with which at least a portion of the target data should be associated based on an automatic comparison, without translating the target data from the second schema to the first schema [e.g., the use of pointer to associate the selected classes by a buyer from a product database (32, Fig. 1); col. 6, lines 58 col. 7, lines 3];
- d) associate the at least a portion of the target data with one or more classes of the first schema in response to determine, based on the automatic comparison, the one

Art Unit: 2161

or more classes of the first schema with which the at least a portion of the target data should be associated. [e.g., the GCD (42, Fig. 2) unit uses the GUID, CID, PID, RID and FID to determine the association of product classes provided by seller's schema (32, Fig. 32) via Boolean operators or any other appropriate technique (col. 11, lines 27-28) that matches the criteria specified by buyers; col. 8, lines 5 – col. 11, lines 35].

As to claims 2-6, 13-17 and 24-28, except the limitations recited in claims 1, 12, and 23, Tenorio further discloses that the determining one or more classes of the first schema with which the at least a portion of the target data should be associated comprises identifying a portion of the target data via matching the name, the value, a range of value, the symbol and formatting of a product attribute in the ontologies of these one or more classes of the first schema [e.g., the GCD (42, Fig. 2) unit will identify (e.g., via pointer, col. 6, line 15-18) and integrates the search specified by class name, value, symbol (e.g., col. 10, lines 64 – col. 11, lines 16), range of value (col. Col. 10, line 12-17) and formatting (col. 7, lines 10-30) from the first schema to target data through translation mechanisms (col. 6, line26)].

As to claims 7-8, 18-19 and 29-30, except the limitations recited in claims 1, 12, and 23, Tenorio further discloses that the systems determines the association by using vector space analysis and statistical correlation techniques [e.g., the optimization of database performance processing based on the analysis of read/write number in the

Art Unit: 2161

information space (col. 15, lines 55-59); and calculating weight to indexing the read/write processing (e.g., Fig. 6 and associated texts)].

As to claims 9-11, 20-22 and 31-33, except the limitations recited in claims 1, 12, and 23, Tenorio further discloses that the systems determines the association between the system classes and it's attributes by using pointers [e.g., col. 6, lines 15-21].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Shasha (U.S. Patent No. 5,809,212) which discloses a system with computational process to represent a domain of knowledge for use over a transition networks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2161

February 19, 2005

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINEP